24th November: West of England Joint Waste Core Strategy Examination in Public.

Schedule of Councils' Proposed Changes to the West of England Joint Waste Core Strategy Submission document (July 2010).

This schedule of proposed changes has been prepared by the West of England Partnership on behalf of the Partnership Authorities; Bath & North East Somerset, Bristol City, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire Council.

This schedule lists proposed changes that clarify or amplify the content of the Joint Waste Core Strategy Submission (JWCS) document (July 2010). These proposed changes do not seek significant or material changes to the JWCS; are considered to be part of the JWCS Submission Document, and therefore do not require a formal recommendation.

The text in bold indicates proposed additional new text. Proposed deletion is indicated by text that is struck through.

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|---|
| PC1 | 7 | Waste water treatment | The forecast increase in population and housing set out in the draft RSS will lead to an increased demand for waste water treatment. The West of England Partnership has commissioned an Infrastructure Study to assess the sub-region's future requirements. The West of England Partnership will work closely with the utility companies in order to identify, appraise and provide sufficient facilities when/if they are required. Authorities will produce individual infrastructure plans to support their respective Core Strategies. |
| PC2 | 8 | Para 3.2.2 | 3.2.2 In 2008, within the West of England approximately half of all municipal, commercial and industrial waste was sent to landfill each year, much of this transported outside of the sub-region. Existing sites within the plan area have only a limited capacity and life time; based on recent rates of landfill, capacity would be exhausted by 2014. Preparation of the JWCS has revealed that existing arrangements for the exportation of waste may be maintained in the short term, but will not be a suitable long term solution. This is a key |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | | | challenge facing the sub-region. <i>Whilst additional landfill capacity will be required</i> the JWCS needs to provide a positive policy framework that promotes the diversion of waste from landfill. Practically this will be achieved through delivery of the waste treatment infrastructure necessary to meet the demands of a growing sub-region. |
| PC3 | 9 | Para 3.4.3 Clarification of text | The distribution of significant growth at strategically significant cities and towns, and urban extensions as identified in the emerging RSS, is a major driver of change in the West of England. The provision of sufficient waste capacity where waste arises is a key challenge for the JWCS; but it can also address positively the objective to reduce the distance that waste travels to be treated. |
| PC4 | 9 | Para 3.5.1 clarification of text | The natural, and <i>built-historic</i> environments of the West of England are among its most distinctive assets and waste management policy needs to consider how best to protect and enhance these diverse environments. The plan area incorporates countryside and many international and national environmental designations such as Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, Ramsar Sites, National Nature Reserves, World Heritage Site and UK BAP Habitats; including the Mendip Hills, the Cotswolds and the Severn Estuary. Flooding is also a particular issue in the West of England, principally along the Severn Estuary. These factors can make the identification of sites suitable to locate waste facilities a challenge. |
| PC5 | 10 | Vision Statement | By 2026 the West of England will be resource efficient with waste generation minimised, in line with the waste hierarchy, and operating a waste management infrastructure, with sufficient capacity to deal with the amount of waste generated in the West of England. The needs of the West of England to enable sustainable economic growth will be met, whilst ensuring the protection of the natural, and historic environment which are its most distinctive and unique assets". |
| PC6 | 10 | Para 4.2.1 | The Vision is consistent with national policy, builds upon the draft Regional Spatial Strategy vision for waste and incorporates the objectives of the authorities Sustainable Community Strategies. The JWCS Vision will be consistent with and complimentary to each |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) |
|------------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| | | | authority's core strategy. |
| PC7 | 11 | Strategic Objectives 5 th bullet-revision of text | To contribute to the reducing and adapting to the impacts of climate change by driving waste up the hierarchy and encouraging the provision of waste management facilities at appropriate locations. <i>having regard for minimizing and mitigating flood risk</i> |
| PC8 | 11 | Strategic Objectives last bullet-additional text for clarification | To locate <i>waste</i> development in accordance with land use priorities, giving preference to previously developed land and/or urban areas. |
| PC9 | 13 | Para 5.3.1-additional text | National waste policy is set out in Waste Strategy for England (WSE2007) and Planning Policy Statement 10: Planning for Sustainable Waste Management (PPS10). A companion guide to the PPS10 provides practice guidance on the implementation of the policies set out in the PPS10. |
| PC10 | 14-15 | Section 5.4 Regional Policy. Replace para's 5.4.1, 5.4.2 and 5.4.3 with the following and delete Table 5.1 | 5.4.1 The draft Regional Spatial Strategy 2006 set out a broad development strategy for the Region over the next 15-20 years and identified managing waste as one of the key challenges facing the South West Region. 5.4.2 The draft RSS set out apportionments for the management of municipal and commercial and industrial waste for the West of England sub-region, which have been used to inform the preparation of the JWCS. 5.4.3 Whilst it is not expected that the draft RSS will be adopted, the principles and aims with regard to waste management are still considered appropriate. In particular the waste recovery target of 85% that conforms with the national policy context, to divert as much waste away from landfill as possible. Delete Table 5.1 |
| PC11 | 15-16 | Replace supporting text at para 5.4.5. | 5.4.5. The JWCS provides the policy framework to deliver sufficient waste management infrastructure to meet the West of England's needs. Appropriate monitoring of the JWCS will enable an understanding of the capacity requirements throughout the plan period. Further detailed information on future capacity |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) |
|------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| | | | requirements at key dates is provided in the JWCS at Section 6. |
| PC12 | 16 | Replace paras 5.5.3 and 5.5.4 and remove existing tables 5.2 and 5.3 | 5.5.3 The draft RSS In accordance with national policy, the JWCS acknowledges the considerable potential for the production of heat from renewable sources and particularly opportunities for facilities that produce heat and electricity, such as energy from waste. 5.5.4 Information on the electricity and/or heat output as a result of residual waste treatment facilities will be monitored as part of the monitoring framework set out at Section 7 of the JWCS. |
| PC13 | 17 | Replace text at Para 5.6.5 with the following | The West of England authorities are in the process of developing Core Strategies, publication of all four Core Strategies is anticipated by January 2011. |
| PC14 | 20 | Paragraph 6.2.4 | Any application for major development, defined as residential development of 10 units, 0.5 ha or more, and all other development of 1ha or more, must be accompanied by a Waste Audit which may be in the form of a Site Waste Management Plan. Such documents are expected to be have an increasing role demonstrating how waste in is managed in a sustainable manner as part of the development, but also explicitly to explore how the use of raw materials can be minimised and how waste can be reused, with priority given to the reuse of materials on site. |
| PC15 | 22 | Policy 1 bullet 4e | If waste <i>generated during construction</i> is <i>to</i> be disposed of elsewhere the distance it will be transported. |
| PC16 | 22 | Para 6.3.2 | Criteria based policy is used to provide the opportunities for all non residual waste treatment capacity. |
| PC17 | 22 | Section 6.4 title 'Future Capacity Requirements' | Title re-labelled to "Future Capacity requirements for non-residual waste treatment" |
| PC18 | 23 | Table 6.1 | Removal of Table 6.1 |
| PC19 | 23 | Paragraph 6.4.3 | Removal of paragraph 6.4.3 as it comments on Table 6.1 |
| PC20 | 23 | Para 6.4.4 | Re-numbered to 6.4.3 |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) |
|------------------|-----------------------|--|---|
| PC21 | 23 | Paragraph 6.4.5 and removal of Table 6.2 | is proposed to delete paragraph 6.4.5. with the exception of the last sentence that is dded to paragraph 6.4.4 (renumbered to 6.4.3). Text will now read as follows: a.4.3. At Annex C3, Waste Strategy for England 2007 identifies that 52% of construction, demolition and excavation (CD&E) waste is recycled, 30% is disposed of to landfill, with the remainder put to other uses such as land restoration. Within the main text of the document, waste Strategy for England 2007 presents an intention to halve the amount of construction, demolition and excavation waste disposed of to landfill by 2012. Reference to the current osition at Annex C3 would indicate that a total of 85% of CD&E waste arisings should herefore be diverted from landfill. This national position has been used to establish targets and consequently forecast tonnage of construction, demolition and excavation wastes wising within the plan area requiring diversion from landfill., as presented in Table 6.2. Policy 1 provides the framework to promote diversion away from landfill for this waste stream. Table 6.2 Construction, Demolition and Excavation Wastes: Diversion from Landfill Capacity Requirement Target % Tonnes 1,030,000 1,079,000 |
| | | | 2015 85 1,030,000 - 1,194,000 |
| | | | 2020 85 1,030,000 1,369,000 2,639,000 |
| | | | 2029 6 85 1,030,000 - 1,752,000 3,026,000 |
| PC22 | 23 | Para 6.4.6 | Para re-numbered to 6.4.4 |
| PC23 | 23 | Para 6.4.7 | Para re-numbered to 6.4.5 |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) |
|------------------|-----------------------|--|---|
| PC24 | 23 | Para 6.4.8 | Para re-numbered to 6.4.6 |
| PC25 | 26 | Policy 2 | Planning permissions for non-residual waste treatment facilities involving recycling, storage, transfer, materials recovery and processing (excluding open windrow composting) will be granted, subject to development management policies: |
| | | | 1. on land that is allocated in a local plan or development plan document for industrial or storage purposes or has planning permission for such use; or |
| | | | 2. on previously owned developed land or land that is located within an adopted urban extension area ; and or |
| | | | 3. at existing or proposed waste management sites, subject in the case of landfill and landraising sites or other temporary facilities, to the waste use being limited to the life of the landfill, landraising or other temporary facility. |
| PC26 | 28 | Additional supporting text at Para 6.7.5 | "A significant amount of CD&E waste is also managed on site with mobile crushers, which reduces the requirement for off site treatment and disposal facilities. These mobile operations generally do not require express planning permission and therefore do not require a specific policy framework, but do contribute to management of the CD&E waste stream. Exempt sites may also be appropriate locations for disposal of inert waste, and may be subject to planning permission, proposals for which would be assessed with policy 8." |
| PC27 | 33 | Para 6.10.1 clarification of text | 6.10.1 A key aim of the JWCS is to ensure that as much waste as possible in the West of England is diverted away from landfill. However, it is acknowledged that landfill will continue to have a role, albeit a limited one, and that new disposal capacity is expected to be required within the sub region over the plan period. |
| PC28 | 34 | Figure 6.2 | Larger (A4 landscape) & better quality map for clarity |
| 29 | 36 | Policy 8 bullet 4, reference the Key environmental | 4. the proposals are not within major aquifers, source protection zones, European sites of nature designation or the appropriate buffer (as identified in Figure 6.2); except where no objection is received from the Environment Agency or Natural England as appropriate. |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| | | constraints map at figure 6.2. | |
| PC30 | 37 | Para 6.12.1 | The development management policies provide the balance to those policies that promote development and will be taken into account when considering any waste management development proposal, whether on a site that has been identified in the JWCS or on other land. Delivered together, the policies of the JWCS will deliver the stated Vision - achieving the required waste infrastructure in the West of England, whilst protecting the natural, and built-historic environment. |
| PC31a | 40 | Policy 12 first paragraph | Planning permissions for waste related development will be granted provided it can be demonstrated by the provision of information appropriate to the planning application that any impacts of the development would be controlled so as not to materially adversely affect people, land, infrastructure, resources and the environment. Information supporting a planning application must include, as appropriate to the development proposal, assessment of the following matters and where necessary, appropriate mitigation should be identified so as to minimise or avoid any material adverse impact and to compensate for any loss and where appropriate achieve enhancement: Planning permission for waste related development will be granted provided it can be demonstrated that any impacts of the proposed development would not significantly adversely affect people, land, infrastructure, resources and the environment and that, where appropriate, enhancement would be achieved. |
| | | | Where it is assessed that the application proposals could lead to significant adverse effects but these are capable of adequate resolution, appropriate mitigation should be identified so as to avoid or minimise any material adverse impact, and to compensate for any loss. |
| | | | Information supporting a planning application must include, as appropriate to the development proposal, assessment of the following matters: |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) |
|------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| PC31b | 40 | Policy 12 Addition of new paragraph to follow bullets | In accordance with Circular 05/2005 (and as may be amended) planning obligations may be necessary in order to address any of the matters listed above or otherwise identified as a significant effect in the environmental information accompanying the application. In considering the scale and form of any contributions to be made under such obligations, the waste planning authority will have regard to the content of paragraph 6.14.4 of this Core Strategy and guidance documents relevant to these matters. |
| PC32 | 40 | Policy 12 bullet 5 | 5. For waste facilities |
| PC33 | 41 | Clarification of supportive text to policy 13, with an additional para at 6.15.3 | 6.15.3 Within the Strategic Areas and adopted urban extensions, the purpose of safeguarding is to ensure that delivery of the JWCS would not be prejudiced by development/re-development proposals. |
| PC34 | 42 | Para 7.1.3 | In particular delivery Delivery of the JWCS will require the Partnership Authorities to have continued engagement with all statutory bodies; but in particular the Environment Agency, as regulator of waste facilities and in providing monitoring information and the development industry; the latter will ultimately be delivering the , which ultimately delivers waste management facilities. As such implementation of the JWCS is primarily concerned with their three main areas of responsibility as set out below (in paragraph 7.1.4). |
| PC35 | 42 | Addition of new paragraph 7.3.7 | 7.3.7 Tables 6.1-6.5 will underpin monitoring of the Spatial Strategy and delivery of the necessary waste management infrastructure. The tables illustrate what capacity is required and when it will need to be delivered throughout the Plan period. |
| PC36 | 43 | Para 7.3.3 | The monitoring framework prepared by the Partnership <i>aA</i> uthorities reflects both the statutory indicators required by Regional Planning Guidance 10 <i>and the draft RSS</i> other indicators relevant to local circumstances. Local indicators are selected from the 198 |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) |
|------------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| | | | National Indicator set published by Communities & Local Government and from within the Partnership Authorities. |
| 27 | 42 | 724 | Para re-numbered to 7.3.5 |
| 37 | 43 | 7.3.4 | |
| 38 | 43 | 7.3.5 | Para re-numbered to 7.3.6 |
| 39 | 43 | 7.3.6 | Para re-numbered to 7.3.7 |
| PC40 | 43 | Figure 7.1 | The key for Figure 7.1 is out of position and will be amended. |
| PC41 | 44 | Waste Prevention indicator - typo | Amend 'killograms' to kilograms |
| PC42 | 45 | Monitoring framework for recycling, composting & non – residual waste infrastructure, in relation to policies 2, 3, 4 | Under Related Policy column text as follows: Refer to Tables 6.1 and 6.2 of the JWCS |
| PC43 | 46 | Monitoring framework for Recovery Infrastucture in relation to policies 5.6 & 7 | Under Related Policy column text as follows: Refer to Table 6.3 of the JWCS |
| PC44 | 46 | Monitoring framework for Landfill in relation to policies 8 & 9. | Under Related Policy column text as follows: Refer to Tables 6.4 and 6.5 of the JWCS |
| PC45 | 47 | Policy 11 indicators | Areas and type of designations on which waste related development is granted. |
| PC46 | 40 | Policy 12 bullet 13 | traffic generation, congestion, access and the suitability where appropriate, the impacts of the proposals on the function and capacity of the highway network in the vicinity of the |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| | | | site, including access to and from the motorway the Strategic Road Network and the primary route network; |
| PC47 | 52-65 | Typo Apprendix every other page | Correct spelling of Appendix on every other page of Appendix 1. |
| PC48 | 67 | Key Diagram | Improve quality/size of map (A4 landscape) |
| PC49 | 2 | Para 1.1.2 | The Joint Waste Core Strategy applies to all waste, with the exception of most radioactive waste the policy for which is dealt with at a national level. |
| PC50 | 8 | Para 3.3.1 new bullet point 4 | - promoting sustainable development and good design |
| PC51 | 29 | Para 6.8.8 | To ensure delivery of the Spatial Strategy, a number of strategic sites, essential to the delivery of the JWCS, have been identified as appropriate for development for the management of residual waste. Policy 5 lists the strategic sites and the indicative requirement capacity within each zone set out in Figure 6.1. Some areas zones have more sites listed than may be required necessary to deliver this indicative requirement This allows ensuring flexibility and subsequent deliverability of the Spatial Strategy to meet the sub-region's needs. |
| PC52 | 31 | Policy 5 | Planning permissions for development involving the treatment of residual wastes where it supports the delivery of the Spatial Strategy will be granted at the following locations, subject to development management policies: 1. discrete Sites, subject to the Key Development Criteria provided in Appendix 1: a. BA19 Broadmead Lane, Keynsham, Bath and North East Somerset b. BA12 Former Fuller's Earth Works, Fosseway, Bath and North East Somerset c. BR505 Hartcliffe Way, Bristol d. DSO5 Merebank, Kings Weston Lane, Bristol e. DS06 BZL Site, Kings Weston Lane, Bristol f. DS07 Sevalco Plant (northern part), Severn Road, Bristol g. DS13 Rhodia Chemical Works, Kings Weston Lane, Bristol |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| | | | h. DS14 Gypsy and Traveller Site, Kings Weston Lane, Bristol i. DS15 Advanced Transport System Ltd Site, Severn Rd, Bristol j. SG39 South of Severnside Works, South Gloucestershire k. IS8 Warne Rd, Weston-super-Mare, North Somerset 2. on land that is located within an adopted urban extension area; 3. 2. on land that is located on existing industrial land in Yate within Strategic Area A, subject to the Key Development Criteria provided in (See Appendix 1); and, |
| | | | 4.— 3. on land that is located within the redevelopment area of Weston – Strategic Area B, subject to the Key Development Criteria provided in -(See Appendix 1). The facilities proposed will be required to contribute to the delivery of the Spatial Strategy illustrated in Figure 6.1. Indicative required capacities requirements for residual waste treatment are: Zone A - ~390,000 tpa Zone B - ~100,000 tpa Zone C - ~150,000 tpa Zone D - ~60,000 tpa Zone E - ~100,000 tpa Monitoring will be undertaken to ensure the Spatial Strategy is delivered. |
| PC53 | 64 | New first bullet | Mindful of the extent of the area allocated and ongoing planning of this area, any waste related proposals should be consistent with the objectives and provisions of any local development document, relevant to Strategic Area A. |
| PC54 | 64 | Additional text to last bullet | In particular proposals must take account of the findings set out in Table 8.1 of the HRA report which identifies that some sites may not be appropriate for thermal treatment. |
| PC55 | 65 | New first bullet | Mindful of the extent of area allocated and ongoing planning of this area, any waste related proposals should be consistent with the objectives and provisions of any |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | | local development document, relevant to Strategic Area B. |
| PC56 | 65 | Additional text to last bullet | In particular proposals must take account of the findings set out in Table 8.1 of the HRA report which identifies that some sites may not be appropriate for thermal treatment. |
| PC57 | 38 | Policy 11 bullet 9 | Listed Buildings, Historic Registered Parks, and Gardens and Battlefields; |
| PC58 | 20 | Para 6.2.4 | A considerable amount of waste is produced by the construction industry. The planning system has a role to play preventing waste generated in construction and redevelopment projects. Any application for major development, defined as residential development of 10 units or more or 0.5ha or more, and all other development of 1ha or more must be accompanied by a Waste Audit, which may be in the form of a Site Waste Management Plan. Such documents are expected to have an increasing role demonstrating how waste in is managed in a sustainable manner as part of development, but also explicitly to explore how the use of raw materials can be minimised and how waste created can be reused, with priority given to the reuse of materials on site. Smaller applications, accompanied by Design and Access Statements, should include commentary on waste prevention measures. |
| PC59 | 21 | Policy 1 bullet 4, introductory text | for any major development proposal the application should be accompanied by a Waste Audit which must include detail of: the provision of information, appropriate to the planning application, on the following matters: |
| PC60 | 22 | Policy 1 bullet 4b. | on-site waste recycling facilities to be provided (both through the construction and operational phases); |
| PC61 | 22 | Policy 1 bullet 5 | the Partnership Authorities leading by example. |
| PC62 | 39 | Para 6.14.4 | Planning obligations and Cconditions and legal agreements play an important role in controlling waste management activities, mitigating impacts and providing added value from waste related development. They will be used in conjunction with the grant of planning permission where appropriate. The matters to be covered are set out in policies 11 and 12 and the individual authorities' core strategies and developer contribution |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | supplementary | supplementary planning documents. | | | | | |
| PC63 | New para 6.5.7 and new Table 6.1 Table 6.1 shows the amount of capacity that it is a recycling and composting of municipal waste and also an indication of current capacity (at 2010). To open windrow composting) is to facilitate provision quantities although, subject to the other provision. Table 6.1 Indicative requirement for recycling and commercial and industrial waste | | | | | | The aim under Policy 2 (Policy 3 for sion sufficient to manage these ons in the JWCS, the requirement is | | |
| | | | | iod | | | | | |
| | | | | 2010/11 | 2015/16 | 2020/21 | 2025/26 | | |
| | | | Gross requirement (tonnes) | 646,000 | 761,000 | 863,000 | 858,000 | | |
| | | | Current capacity (tonnes) | 812,000 | | | | | |
| PC64 | 28 | New paragraphs 6.7.5 and 6.7.6 and new Table 6.2 | Table 6.2 show recycling of conprovision sufficient provision. Policy provision at other of waste minimals. | nstruction, den cient to manago ne JWCS, the re cy 4 concerns i her appropriate isation, under | molition and exce these quantitiequirement is n related develop locations wou Policy 1. | cavation waste ies although, s ot intended to ment at minera ld be subject to | e. The aim is to ubject to the of represent a lim al sites. Recycli o Policy 2 or, in | facilitate ther nit on ing n the context | |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--------|
| | | | significant amount of CD&E waste is managed on site with mobile crushers. Generally, these operations do not require separate planning permission and therefore do not require a specific policy framework. Table 6.2 Indicative requirement for recycling of construction, demolition and excavation waste | | | | | |
| | | | | Intervals throughout the Plan period | | | | |
| | | | | 2010/11 | 2015/16 | 2020/21 | 2025/26 | |
| | | | Gross requirement (tonnes) | 1,660,000 | 2,301,000 | 2,639,000 | 3,026,000 | |
| | | | Current capacity (tonnes) | - | | | | |
| PC65 | 31 | New paragraphs 6.8.16 and 6.8.17 and new Table 6.3 | recovery from a indication of cuprovision suffice provisions in the not intended to Although Table indicates that a managing the vision of the suffice of th | municipal wast urrent capacity cient to manag ne JWCS includ represent a lin e 6.3 shows 225 a significant pro wastes generat As such, it is n | te and commerce (at 2010). The lette these quantited ing those set on the control of the control | cial and industraim under Policies although, so but at paragraph n. acity at 2010, a all, of this capa works/industric | I will be required for ial waste; also an cy 5 is to facilitate before to the other h 6.8.9, the requirem dditional research city is dedicated to al processes operation management of gen | ent is |

| Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|------------------|
| | | | Table 6.3 Indicative and industrial was | • | nts for recover | y of municipal | waste and comm | ercial |
| | Intervals through | | | | nout the Plan per | | | |
| | | | | 2010/11 | 2015/16 | 2020/21 | 2025/26 | |
| | | | Gross requirement (tonnes) | 334,937 | 490,618 | 730,393 | 725,118 | |
| | | | Current capacity (tonnes) | 225,000 | | | | |
| | | 6.10.12 to 6.10.13 | required over the 2010). Provision waste hierarchy, of the figures assume provision will be rexported to landfile. | will be made care will be to se that other needed to me | under Policy a ken to ensure recycling and l et any overall | 8. Since landfill that there is no recovery target | is at the bottom o overprovision. I s have been met. | of the Howeve |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|--|
| | | | Table 6.4 Indicative requirement for the disposal of hazardous and non-haza wastes | | | | | |
| | | | | Iı | ntervals through | out the Plan peri | od | |
| | | | | 2010/11 | 2015/16 | 2020/21 | 2025/26 | |
| | | | Gross cumulative requirement (tonnes) | 700,000 | 3,600,000 | 5,725,000 | 7,100,000* | |
| | | | Gross annual requirement (tonnes) | 696,000 | 540,000 | 276,000 | 275,000 | |
| | | | Current capacity (tonnes) | 2,250,000 | | | | |
| | | | * Gross requirement Table 6.5 Indica | ative requireme | | osal of inert wa | nste | |
| | | | | 2010/11 | 2015/16 | 2020/21 | 2025/26 | |
| | | | Gross cumulative requirement (tonnes) | 679,000 | 4,000,000 | 6,155,000 | 8,651,000* | |
| | | | Gross annual requirement (tonnes) | 679,000 | 394,000 | 457,000 | 529,000 | |
| | | | Current capacity (tonnes) | 752,000 | | | | |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) |
|------------------|-----------------------|---|--|
| | | | * Gross requirement over the Plan period is 7,901,000 tonnes (8,651,000 – 750,000) |
| PC67 | 36 | Renumber paragraphs 6.10.12 and 6.10.13 | To 6.10.14 and 6.10.15 respectively |
| PC68 | 35 | Para 6.10.10 | There are no hazardous waste landfill facilities within the plan area. Such specialist facilities are recognised as being facilities of regional and national importance. Further, t There is no identified strategic need for new hazardous waste landfill capacity within the plan area; however policies 8 and 9 provide the relevant framework to enable the sub-region to meet its own needs. |
| PC69 | 51 – 65 | Title under each Figure | Key Development <i>Criteria</i> |
| PC70 | 62 | First bullet 'Visual Impact' | Visual Impact: A landscape and visual impact assessment would be expected to address the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, off site and World Heritage Site and its Setting |
| PC71 | 40 | Policy 12 bullet 5 | for waste facilities recovery energy |
| PC72 | 38 | Policy 11 first paragraph and bullet 3 | Planning permission will not be granted for waste related development where this would endanger, or have a significant adverse impact on, or effect the character, quality, interest, setting or openness of the following: 3. World Heritage Site and its Setting; |
| PC73 | 34 | Para 6.10.7 | When applying the policy, consideration will be given to these constraints and the outcomes of the WEP Landfill Review, which highlights that opportunities for waste disposal on brownfield land may be limited and therefore greenfield land may be required to deliver the sub-region's needs. |
| PC74 | 35 | Para 6.10.11 | Policy 8 and Figure 6.2 are applicable to all waste disposal sites; they are not restricted to non-hazardous facilities proposals for the disposal of all waste types addressed within this Core Strategy. It is recognised that the detail prepared for a specific proposal may address the in principle constraints applied by Policy 8 and presented |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|---|
| | | | in Figure 6.2, such as demonstrating no likely significant effects to the European sites of nature conservation, which are driven by legislated requirements. As such, whilst Policy 8 presents a presumption against development of a disposal facility within the areas identified in Figure 6.2, the policy recognises that the relevant legislative requirements could be met which would enable appropriate development. Policy 8 therefore contains reference to no objection from the Environment Agency and Natural England as it is recognised inert disposal facilities, that may be located more easily within the environmental constraints identified. |
| PC75 | 35/36 | Policy 8 | In meeting the sub-region's landfill need, priority will be given to Brownfield land over Greenfield land. Planning permissions will be granted for waste disposal by landfilling, landraising or engineering or other operations, subject to development management policy, provided that: 1. the waste to be disposed of cannot practicably and reasonably be reused, recycled or processed (to recover materials; to produce compost, soil conditioner or inert residues; or to recover energy). For may be required for the restoration of mineral workings, and 2. the proposed development involves the minimum quantity of waste necessary for to deliver the sub-region's needs and to enable: a. the purposes of restoring restoration of current or former mineral workings sites; or b. a demonstrable improvement in the quality of the land; or c. facilitating the establishment of an appropriate after-use; or d. improving land damaged or disturbed as a result of previous or existing uses; or e. the engineering or other operations. 3. the proposed development does not prejudice the satisfactory restoration of mineral working sites in the locality, having regard to the supply and availability of appropriate waste |

| Change Number | Page no of JWCS | Policy/Paragraph of the JWCS | Proposed Change (as suggested by the Partnership Authorities) |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | | | materials for their restoration. |
| | | | the proposals are not within major aquifers, source protection zones, European sites of nature designation conservation or the appropriate buffer (as identified in Figure 6.2); except where it can be demonstrated that the relevant legislative requirements can be met. no objection is received from the Environment Agency or Natural England as appropriate. |
| | | | In granting planning permission for landfilling or landraising developments, or engineering or other operations, conditions may be imposed limiting both the types and quantities of waste to be deposited in order to conserve capacity for waste that cannot be reused, recycled or processed. |
| PC76 | 36 | Policy 9 bullet 1 | incorporate finished levels that are compatible with the surrounding area and any likely settlement. The finished levels should be the minimum required to and ensure satisfactory restoration of the land for an agreed afteruse; |
| PC77 | 44 | 4 th Indicator | Percentage of approved developments requiring a Waste Audit which make provision for (on site) waste segregation, recycling and recovery. |
| | | | Percentage of major development proposals accompanied by a Waste Audit Record of provision made (type and amount) |
| PC78 | 33 | Para 6.10.5 | The West of England Partnership (WEP) Landfill Review indicates a need for an additional 5.9 to 6.5 million cubic metres of non-hazardous landfill void within the sub-region throughout the plan period. A further update presented in the Topic Paper, published October 2010, indicated the current capacity (2,250,000) and additional requirements (4,852,000) as set out at Table 6.4. |

E:\Waste\Schedule of Changes 24.11.10.doc